



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,293	04/12/2006	Becky Bossidan	2003005503	1337
22879 7590 07/07/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
EXAMINER RODÉE, CHRISTOPHER D				
ART UNIT 1795		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/07/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
mkraft@hp.com
ipa.mail@hp.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/575,293

Applicant(s)

BOSSIDAN ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher RoDee

Art Unit

1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher RoDee.(3) Dillon Murphy, Reg. # 60253.(2) Kristina Kuhnert.(4) Dominic Lindauer.Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11-13, and 15.Identification of prior art discussed: Applied art.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Counsel and the Examiner discussed potential amendments to the claims to bring forward the reduced optical density fading of the instant invention. The Examiner stated that such an amendment may prove beneficial but it would have to be shown that the same properties are not also present inherently in the prior art. The section 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 15 was also discussed. No specific agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher RoDee/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.